

EXHIBIT 1

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
MARSHALL DIVISION**

IN RE TAASERA LICENSING LLC LITIGATION)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:22-MD- 03042-JRG
THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO ALL CASES)	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
)	

DISCOVERY ORDER

After a review of the pleaded claims and defenses in this action, in furtherance of the management of the Court's docket under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 16, and after receiving the input of the parties to this action, it is ORDERED AS FOLLOWS:

1. **Initial Disclosures.** In lieu of the disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(1), each party shall disclose to every other party the following information:
 - (a) the correct names of the parties to the lawsuit;
 - (b) the name, address, and telephone number of any potential parties;
 - (c) the legal theories and, in general, the factual bases of the disclosing party's claims or defenses (the disclosing party need not marshal all evidence that may be offered at trial);
 - (d) the name, address, and telephone number of persons having knowledge of relevant facts, a brief statement of each identified person's connection with the case, and a brief, fair summary of the substance of the information known by any such person;
 - (e) any indemnity and insuring agreements under which any person or entity carrying on an insurance business may be liable to satisfy part or all of a judgment entered in this action or to indemnify or reimburse for payments made to satisfy the judgment;

- (f) any settlement agreements relevant to the subject matter of this action; and
- (g) any statement of any party to the litigation.

2. **Disclosure of Expert Testimony.**¹ A party must disclose to the other parties the identity of any witness it may use at trial to present evidence under Federal Rule of Evidence 702, 703 or 705, and:

- (a) if the witness is one retained or specially employed to provide expert testimony in the case or one whose duties as the party's employee regularly involve giving expert testimony, provide the disclosures required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(B) and Local Rule CV-26; and
- (b) for all other such witnesses, provide the disclosure required by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2)(C).

3. **Additional Disclosures.** Without awaiting a discovery request,² each party will make the following disclosures to every other party:

- (a) provide the disclosures required by the Patent Rules for the Eastern District of Texas with the following modifications to P.R. 3-1 and P.R. 3-3:
 - i. If a party claiming patent infringement asserts that a claim element is a software limitation, the party need not comply with P.R. 3-1 for those claim elements until 30 days after source code for each Accused Instrumentality is produced by the opposing party. Thereafter, the party claiming patent infringement shall identify, on an element-by-element basis for each

¹ All expert reports should be written such that the report is organized with discrete paragraph numbers.

² The Court anticipates that this disclosure requirement will obviate the need for requests for production.

asserted claim, what source code of each Accused Instrumentality allegedly satisfies the software limitations of the asserted claim elements.

- ii. If a party claiming patent infringement exercises the provisions of Paragraph 3(a)(i) of this Discovery Order, the party opposing a claim of patent infringement may serve, not later than 30 days after receipt of a Paragraph 3(a)(i) disclosure, supplemental “Invalidity Contentions” that amend only those claim elements identified as software limitations by the party claiming patent infringement.

(b) produce or permit the inspection of all documents, electronically stored information, and tangible things in the possession, custody, or control of the party that are relevant to the pleaded claims or defenses involved in this action, except to the extent these disclosures are affected by the time limits set forth in the Patent Rules for the Eastern District of Texas; and

(c) provide a complete computation of any category of damages claimed by any party to the action and produce or permit the inspection of documents or other evidentiary material on which such computation is based, including materials bearing on the nature and extent of injuries suffered, except that the disclosure of the computation of damages may be deferred until the time for Expert Disclosures if a party will rely on a damages expert.

4. **Protective Orders.** The Court will enter the parties’ Agreed Protective Order.
5. **Discovery Limitations.** The discovery in this case is limited to the disclosures described in Paragraphs 1-3 together with:

(a) Interrogatories: Each Defendant group may serve 25 interrogatories on Taasera Licensing LLC (“Taasera”), and Taasera may serve 25 interrogatories on each Defendant group.³

i. For the purposes of the Palo Alto Networks case only, Taasera and Quest Patent Research Corporation (“QPRC”) collectively may serve 25 interrogatories on Palo Alto Networks, and Palo Alto Networks may serve 25 interrogatories on Taasera and QPRC, collectively.

ii. **[Palo Alto Networks position: Separately, Palo Alto Networks may serve up to ten (10) jurisdictional interrogatories on Taasera and QPRC, collectively]**

[Taasera’s and QPRC’s position: Palo Alto Networks may not serve additional jurisdictional interrogatories.]

(b) Requests for Admission: Taasera may serve 40 requests for admission on each Defendant group, and each Defendant group may serve 40 requests for admission on Taasera. The parties will be permitted to serve unlimited requests for admission for authentication of documents and things.

i. For the purposes of the Palo Alto Networks case only, Taasera and QPRC collectively may serve 40 requests for admission on Palo Alto Networks, and Palo Alto Networks may serve 40 requests for admission on Taasera

³ CrowdStrike Inc. and CrowdStrike Holdings, Inc. constitute one Defendant group for the purposes of this Discovery Order; Trend Micro Inc. and Trend Micro Incorporated shall constitute one Defendant group for the purposes of this Discovery Order; and the remaining defendants, except for Palo Alto Networks, shall each constitute one Defendant group.

and QPRC, collectively. The parties will be permitted to serve unlimited requests for admissions for authentication of documents and things.

(c) Depositions (non-experts): Taasera may take up to 42 total hours of deposition testimony of each Defendant group (inclusive of both 30(b)(1) and 30(b)(6) depositions). Each Defendant group and Palo Alto Networks may individually take up to 42 total hours of deposition testimony of Taasera (inclusive of both 30(b)(1) and 30(b)(6) depositions). However, no single 30(b)(1) witness may be deposed for more than seven (7) hours. If a Party believes that additional time with a particular witness is necessary, the Parties shall meet and confer in good faith in order to reach an agreement. All Party depositions shall take place at the location that the witness resides unless the Parties agree to an alternative location. Furthermore, the Parties shall coordinate such depositions to minimize duplication. Taasera is limited to a maximum of ten (10) party fact-witness depositions for each Defendant group, and each Defendant group is limited to ten (10) party fact-witness depositions of Taasera.

- i. Depositions of experts, inventors, and third parties do not count against these limits.
- ii. For the purposes of Palo Alto Networks only, Taasera and QPRC collectively may take up to 42 total hours of deposition testimony of Palo Alto Networks, and Palo Alto Networks may take up to 42 hours of deposition testimony of Taasera and QPRC collectively.
- iii. **[Palo Alto Networks' position: Palo Alto Networks may take an additional 7 deposition hours limited to jurisdictional issues.]**

iv. [Taasera's and QPRC's position: Palo Alto Networks may not take additional deposition hours.]

(d) Expert Depositions: Taasera and QPRC collectively are limited to 4 testifying expert witnesses. Each Defendant Group and Palo Alto Networks individually are limited to 4 testifying expert witnesses. Each expert may be deposed for up to seven (7) hours per report.

(e) Discovery served prior to the MDL consolidation in member case *Taasera Licensing LLC v. Trend Micro Incorporated*, 2:21-cv-00441-JRG, is deemed moot.

(f) Any party may later move to modify these limitations.

6. **Privileged Information.** There is no duty to disclose privileged documents or information. However, the parties are directed to meet and confer concerning privileged documents or information after the Status Conference. By the deadline set in the Docket Control Order, the parties shall exchange privilege logs identifying the documents or information and the basis for any disputed claim of privilege in a manner that, without revealing information itself privileged or protected, will enable the other parties to assess the applicability of the privilege or protection. Any party may move the Court for an order compelling the production of any documents or information identified on any other party's privilege log. If such a motion is made, the party asserting privilege shall respond to the motion within the time period provided by Local Rule CV-7. The party asserting privilege shall then file with the Court within 30 days of the filing of the motion to compel any proof in the form of declarations or affidavits to support their assertions of privilege, along with the documents over which privilege is asserted for *in camera* inspection. However, if the

party asserting privilege seeks an *in camera* review by the Court, such party shall first obtain leave from the Court prior to delivery of documents to the Court.

7. **Signature.** The disclosures required by this Order shall be made in writing and signed by the party or counsel and shall constitute a certification that, to the best of the signer's knowledge, information and belief, such disclosure is complete and correct as of the time it is made. If feasible, counsel shall meet to exchange disclosures required by this Order; otherwise, such disclosures shall be served as provided by Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 5. The parties shall promptly file a notice with the Court that the disclosures required under this Order have taken place.
8. **Duty to Supplement.** After disclosure is made pursuant to this Order, each party is under a duty to supplement or correct its disclosures **immediately** if the party obtains information on the basis of which it knows that the information disclosed was either incomplete or incorrect when made or is no longer complete or true.

9. Discovery Disputes.

- (a) Except in cases involving claims of privilege, any party entitled to receive disclosures (“Requesting Party”) may, after the deadline for making disclosures, serve upon a party required to make disclosures (“Responding Party”) a written statement, in letter form or otherwise, of any reason why the Requesting Party believes that the Responding Party’s disclosures are insufficient. The written statement shall list, by category, the items the Requesting Party contends should be produced. The parties shall promptly meet and confer. If the parties are unable to resolve their dispute, then the Responding Party shall, within 14 days after service of the written statement upon it, serve upon the Requesting Party a written statement, in letter form or otherwise, which identifies (1) the requested items that will be disclosed, if any, and (2) the reasons why any requested items will not be disclosed. The Requesting Party may thereafter file a motion to compel.
- (b) An opposed discovery related motion, or any response thereto, shall not exceed 7 pages. Attachments to a discovery related motion, or a response thereto, shall not exceed 5 pages. No further briefing is allowed absent a request or order from the Court.
- (c) Prior to filing any discovery related motion, the parties must fully comply with the substantive and procedural conference requirements of Local Rule CV-7(h) and (i). Within 72 hours of the Court setting any discovery motion for a hearing, each party’s lead attorney (*see* Local Rule CV-11(a)) and local counsel shall meet and confer in person or by telephone, without the involvement or participation of other attorneys, in an effort to resolve the dispute without Court intervention.

- (d) Counsel shall promptly notify the Court of the results of that meeting by filing a joint report of no more than two pages. Unless excused by the Court, each party's lead attorney shall attend any discovery motion hearing set by the Court (though the lead attorney is not required to argue the motion).
- (e) Any change to a party's lead attorney designation must be accomplished by motion and order.
- (f) Counsel are directed to contact the chambers of the undersigned for any "hot-line" disputes before contacting the Discovery Hotline provided by Local Rule CV-26(e). If the undersigned is not available, the parties shall proceed in accordance with Local Rule CV-26(e).

- 10. No Excuses.** A party is not excused from the requirements of this Discovery Order because it has not fully completed its investigation of the case, or because it challenges the sufficiency of another party's disclosures, or because another party has not made its disclosures. Absent court order to the contrary, a party is not excused from disclosure because there are pending motions to dismiss, to remand or to change venue.
- 11. Filings.** Only upon request from chambers shall counsel submit to the court courtesy copies of any filings.

- 12. Proposed Stipulations by the Parties Regarding Discovery**

- (a) The parties agree that they will serve all other parties with copies of any subpoena or deposition notice directed to a third-party. A party receiving documents from a third party will promptly provide notice of such receipt to other parties. Any party may request copies of the third party's documents from the receiving party by sending a written request to the receiving party and all other parties. If Taasera or

QPRC request third-party documents produced pursuant to a subpoena from a Defendant group or Palo Alto Networks, the Defendant group or Palo Alto Networks will promptly provide copies of those documents to Plaintiff. If a Defendant group or Palo Alto Networks requests third-party documents produced pursuant to a subpoena from another party, the following process applies: the receiving party and all other parties have three (3) business days from the date of such request to provide the requesting party with a written objection to the request. The parties shall not unreasonably object to a party's request for third party documents. If no party objects, then the receiving party shall promptly provide copies of the third party's documents to the requesting party. If a party objects to the request, then the objecting party and requesting party shall confer in good faith to resolve their disputes. The receiving party shall not provide copies of the third party's documents to any requesting party unless and until there are no pending objections.

A party scheduling a deposition of a third party shall provide notice of the deposition date to all other parties by at least seven days prior to the deposition.

- (b) The parties agree that the parties shall not be required to log any privileged documents created on or after the date of the Complaint in each of these actions respectively.
- (c) The parties agree to accept service by email to all counsel of record for the party to be served.
- (d) Testifying experts shall not be subject to discovery on any draft of their report or declarations in this case and such draft reports, declarations, notes, outlines, or any

other writings leading up to an issued report or filed declaration in this litigation are exempt from discovery. In addition, all communications to and from a testifying expert, and all materials generated by a testifying expert with respect to that person's work in this case, are exempt from discovery unless relied upon by the expert in forming any opinions in this litigation.

- (e) The parties will submit a proposed e-discovery order within thirty (30) days after the Scheduling Conference.
- (f) Pursuant to Federal Rule of Evidence 502(d), inadvertent production of materials covered by the attorney-client privilege or work-product protection is not a waiver in this or any other federal or state proceeding. In case of inadvertent production, at the producing party's request, the receiving party shall immediately return or destroy the inadvertently produced materials. The producing party will provide a privilege log in accordance with Paragraph 6 even if the inadvertently produced materials were created on or after the date of the Complaint in the applicable action.

13. Standing Orders. The parties and counsel are charged with notice of and are required to fully comply with each of the Standing Orders of this Court. Such are posted on the Court's website at <http://www.txed.uscourts.gov/?q=court-annexed-mediation-plan>. The substance of some such orders may be included expressly within this Discovery Order, while others (including the Court's Standing Order Regarding Protection of Proprietary and/or Confidential Information to Be Presented to the Court During Motion and Trial Practice) are incorporated herein by reference. All such standing orders shall be binding on the parties and counsel, regardless of whether they are expressly included herein or made a part hereof by reference.